From:	
То:	<u>Plan Comment Mailbox</u>
Subject:	Fw: Planning Proposal Submission: 2020SNH005 – North Sydeny-PP_2020_North_004_00
Date:	Thursday, 26 November 2020 4:00:52 PM

Dear Planning Panels Secretariat,

Planning Proposal Submission: 2020SNH005 - North Sydeny-PP_2020_North_004_00

I object to the planned proposal for the development of the 179 Walker St and 11-17 Hampden St, because the number of apartments and the associated increase in cars and vehicle movement will cause more peak time delays than the proposed traffic statement considers.

The intersection of Walker and Hamden Rd is not suited to handle the increased traffic. The split in the road at the intersection by the existing wall does not seem;

- To allow for cars exiting the drive from the development to turn northbound onto Walker St, so all exiting cars can only travel southbound into Walker.
- Cars traveling southbound on Walker St are not able to turn left into the driveway to enter the proposed development, so all cars will have to enter from the northbound direction. Potentially blocking traffic through the Berry St intersection.

This intersection has two large clearways marked on the road to support traffic flow from 3 driveways and Hampden Rd. Adding another driveway at the same intersection will just cause additional traffic congestion.

As a resident who drives daily, I can attest that during weekday mornings from 7:30am to 8:30am the southbound traffic in Walker street is already heavy with vehicles from existing residents and parents who have drop-off students at Wenona school. The duration that the green traffic light is on to allow vehicles to turn left into Berry street is short, often only allowing 8-10 cars to move at a time.

The traffic statement for the approved development at 134 Walker St, has estimated an increase in car movements of 82 (@AM) and 66 (@PM) during peak times. Most of this will be funneled onto Walker Street southbound feeding to the highway onramp at Berry St.

The traffic statement for the proposed development at 178 Walker St, estimates an increased car movement of 31 (@AM) and 31 (@PM) during peak times, in addition to the 134 Walker St.

All this will lead to extending the peak traffic congestion. How will council address these concerns?

Kind Regards

(I do not wish my name or address to be made public)